

## CENSORSHIP

(THE DEFENCE OF LITERATURE AND THE ARTS SOCIETY)

23 Budgen Drive
PLEASE REPLREGAIL Surrey
RHI 2QB
22nd August 1997

To: Birol Mehmet Home Office 50 Queen Anne's Gate London SWIH 9AT

Dear Birol Mehmet,

FILM CENSORSHIP

Thank you for your letter of the 12th stating that the Home Office has no plans for any statutory changes for film and video clssification. Does this mean that it is intended that Stalinist State censorship of material for adults (through cuts and refusal of certificates) will be retained in Britain, notwithstanding its abolition almost everywhere else in Europe ? If so, why ?

Enclosed are copy newsreports of 20 & 21st August regarding proposed changes to the British Board of Film Classification. As in interested pressure group, we would like to be invited to submit proposals before any changes are implemented. We are particularly concerned about illogical anomalies. British classification is out of step with that elsewhere in the world; for instance only in Britian was the video recording "Ecstacy of St. Theresa" proscribed. In addition films can be banned locally; the film "Crash" was only prohibited in two parts of the World, namely the City of Westminster and the Republic of Singapore. Qui Bono? In addition there is a right of appeal against video classification (or lack of it) but not regarding films.

Yours for freedom of expression.

Clir E Goodman Chair of CAC