

CENSORSHIP

HE DEFENCE OF LITERATURE AND THE ARTS SOCIETY)

PLEASE REPLY TO:-

23 Budgen Drive Redhill Surrey RHI 2QB 29th December 2000

To: Lindsay McKean
Polict Adviser
Sentencing & Offences Unit
Home Office Room 316
50 Queen Anne's Gate SWIH 9AT
Dear L McKean,

PROSTITUTES CARDS IN TELEPHONE BOXES

Thank you for your letter of the 18th December. The creation of the proposed new offence is unacceptable in a democratic society for the following reasons.

Prostitution is lawful and desirable because, as St Augustine of Hippo explained, it protects respectable women. Prostitutes can, however, only operate through advertising their availability. Democratic countries therefore allow a legitimate outlet for such publicity. The United Kingdom, by contrast, does not.

The whole question of prostitution should be dealt with by one comprehensive statute, as is the case in other European Union States. Piecemeal legislation of the type proposed will only make matters worse in England by adding to the existing mass of laws relating to the subject, all of which should be repealed and replaced by one consolidatory Act based on logic ie who is trying to protect whom from what and why.

National legislators in this country lack the necessary moral fibre to tackle this subject and therefore resort to cosmetic fringe legislation of the type proposed. Local authorities, being at the sharp end, are thus forced to resort to their own solutions eg the tolerated "red light" districts of Birmingham and Edinburgh. Why cannot British Ministers grasp the nettle and legislate properly on prostitution, as has happened in Germany and the Netherlands?

In any event the proposed power to extent the scope of the offence is Orwellian and open to abuse. The power of arrest draconian for such a victimless offence.

Yours for freedom of expression (even for prostitutes)
Clir E Goodman
Chair of CAC