



PLEASE REPLY TO - PO Box 570

Redhill RH1 2WZ

31st October 2016

The Director BBFC

3 Soho Square

**London W1D3HD** 

Dear Sir,

## **GUIDELINES**

Further to our previous correspondence, enclosed is a case report of Video Appeals Committee ex parte BBFC: 2000. You are referred to the final two paragraphs. They rule that it is illegal for BBFC to censor images which are lawful. The latter are now governed in England by the Criminal Justice and Immigration Act 2008 (Sections 63-67), as interpreted by the Simon Walsh acquittal in 2012. In Scotland the relevant statute is the Criminal Justice and Licensing (Scotland) Act 2010.

Images of the following are thus legitimate in both England and Scotland: anal fisting, ball-gags, defaecation, face-sitting, fisting, urethral sounding, and urine "water sports". Please, therefore, let us know why BBFC continues to do censor them in both jurisdictions.

Please, also, let us know if BBFC is seeking power to censor the British internet under the Digital Economy Bill.

Yours for freedom of expression,

E. Goodman

Chair of CAC

Enc.